

Directed percolation near a wall

J W Essam[†], A J Guttmann[‡], I Jensen[‡] and D TanlaKishani[†]

[†]Department of Mathematics, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham Hill, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

Abstract. Series expansion methods are used to study directed bond percolation clusters on the square lattice whose lateral growth is restricted by a wall parallel to the growth direction. The percolation threshold p_c is found to be the same as that for the bulk. However the values of the critical exponents for the percolation probability and mean cluster size are quite different from those for the bulk and are estimated by $\beta_1 = 0.7338 \pm 0.0001$ and $\gamma_1 = 1.8207 \pm 0.0004$ respectively. On the other hand the exponent $\Delta_1 = \beta_1 + \gamma_1$ characterising the scale of the cluster size distribution is found to be unchanged by the presence of the wall.

The parallel connectedness length, which is the scale for the cluster length distribution, has an exponent which we estimate to be $\nu_{1\parallel} = 1.7337 \pm 0.0004$ and is also unchanged. The exponent τ_1 of the mean cluster length is related to β_1 and $\nu_{1\parallel}$ by the scaling relation $\nu_{1\parallel} = \beta_1 + \tau_1$ and using the above estimates yields $\tau_1 = 1$ to within the accuracy of our results. We conjecture that this value of τ_1 is exact and further support for the conjecture is provided by the direct series expansion estimate $\tau_1 = 1.0002 \pm 0.0003$.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 02.50.-r, 05.70.Ln

Short title: Directed percolation near a wall

February 1, 2008

Recently exact results have been obtained for directed compact clusters on the square lattice near a wall [1, 2, 3]. Such clusters are similar to ordinary percolation clusters except that they cannot branch and have no holes. These simplifying features allow several of the usual percolation functions to be derived analytically and the corresponding critical exponents have integer values. One of the main conclusions from these results was that although the moments of the cluster size and length distributions have exponents which change on introducing a wall parallel to the growth direction the exponents for the size and length scales remain the same. The other was that growth parallel to the wall is rather special in that any bias away from the wall results in bulk exponents. Similarly any bias towards the wall leads to wet wall exponents [1].

In this paper we find that the first of these conclusions extends to directed bond percolation. The exponents for directed percolation are not known exactly but numerical results show that, even in the absence of a wall, they are generally far from being integer and there is some doubt as to whether they even have rational values [5]. An interesting possibility raised by our results is that the mean cluster length in the presence of a wall parallel to the growth direction is an exceptional case and has the integer exponent $\tau_1 = 1$. Direct evidence for this value is provided by our analysis of the low density series expansion for the mean cluster length. Further support is provided by the scaling relation

$$\beta_1 + \tau_1 = \nu_{1\parallel} \quad (1)$$

together with series expansion estimates of β_1 and $\nu_{1\parallel}$. Here the subscript 1 on an exponent indicates its value in the presence of a wall. This relation is less well known than the one for the cluster size distribution, namely

$$\beta_1 + \gamma_1 = \Delta_1 \quad (2)$$

and is derived below. First we define the model and introduce some notation.

The directed square lattice may be described as having sites which are the points in the $t-x$ plane with integer co-ordinates such that $t \geq 0$ and $t+x$ is even. There are two bonds leading from the general site (t, x) which terminate at the sites $(t+1, x \pm 1)$. All bonds have probability p of being open to the passage of fluid and the source is placed at $(0, 0)$. This will be known as the bulk problem. A wall will be said to be present if the bonds leading to sites with $x < 0$ are always closed. The probability that fluid reaches column t but no further will be denoted by $r_t(p)$ and in this event the origin will be said to belong to a cluster of length t .

The percolation probability, the probability that the origin belongs to a cluster of infinite length, is defined by

$$P(p) = 1 - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} r_t(p) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (r_t(p_c) - r_t(p)) \sim (p - p_c)^\beta \text{ for } p \rightarrow p_c^+. \quad (3)$$

If we suppose that the length distribution has the scaling form

$$r_t(p) \sim t^{-a} f(t/\xi_{\parallel}(p)) \quad (4)$$

then if

$$\xi_{\parallel}(p) \sim |p_c - p|^{-\nu_{\parallel}} \quad (5)$$

substitution in (3) yields

$$a = 1 + \frac{\beta}{\nu_{\parallel}}. \quad (6)$$

The mean cluster length is defined by

$$T(p) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t r_t(p) \quad (7)$$

and using (4) we find that

$$T(p) \sim |p_c - p|^{-\tau} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\tau = \nu_{\parallel} - \beta. \quad (9)$$

The same argument holds in the presence of the surface and leads to (1). There is a close correspondence between the above derivation and that of (2) given in [6]. To obtain (2) it is only necessary to replace $r_t(p)$ by the cluster size distribution $p_s(p)$, $\xi_{\parallel}(p)$ by the scaling size $\sigma(p)$, which diverges with critical exponent Δ , and $T(p)$ by the mean cluster size $S(p)$ which diverges with exponent γ .

The mean size and the parallel and perpendicular scaling lengths are obtained from the pair connectedness function $C(t, x; p)$ which is the probability that there is an open path from the origin to the site (t, x) . The moments are defined by

$$\mu_{m,n}(p) = \sum_{sites} t^m x^n C(t, x; p) \quad (10)$$

in terms of which $S(p) = \mu_{00}(p)$. Assuming a scaling form for $C(t, x; p)$ similar to (4), where x is scaled by $\xi_{\perp}(p)$, it follows that

$$\xi_{\parallel}(p) \sim \frac{\mu_{m0}(p)}{\mu_{m-1,0}(p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{\perp}(p) \sim \frac{\mu_{0n}(p)}{\mu_{0,n-1}(p)}. \quad (11)$$

The series expansions are obtained by a transfer matrix method similar to that used for the bulk lattice [4] and the details of the implementation in the presence of a wall will be given in a forthcoming paper [5]. The state of column t is a specification of which sites in that column are wet and which are dry and the probability that state i occurs is denoted by $\pi_i(t, p)$. The state in which all sites are dry is labelled $i = 0$. Essentially

the state vector of a given column is completely determined by that of the previous column and only one state vector need be held in the computer at any stage. $C(t, x; p)$ is determined by summing $\pi_i(t, p)$ over all states for which the site with co-ordinate x is wet and

$$r_t(p) = \pi_0(t+1, p) - \pi_0(t, p). \quad (12)$$

Low density expansions in powers of p are obtained by noting that $\pi(t, p) = \mathcal{O}(p^t)$ so that all of the above functions may be obtained to this order by computing the state vectors up to column t . We were able to derive the series directly up to a maximal column $t_m = 49$. However, these series can be extended significantly via an extrapolation method similar to that of [8]. As an example, consider the series for the average cluster length $T(p)$. For each $t < t_m$ we calculate the polynomials $T_t(p) = \sum_{t'=0}^t t' r_{t'}(p)$ correct to $\mathcal{O}(p^{70})$. As already noted these polynomials agree with the series for $T(p)$ to $\mathcal{O}(p^t)$. Next, we look at the sequences $d_{t,s}$ obtained from the difference between successive polynomials

$$T_{t+1}(p) - T_t(p) = p^{t+1} \sum_{s \geq 0} d_{t,s} p^s. \quad (13)$$

The first of these correction terms $d_{t,0}$ is often a simple sequence which one can readily identify. In this case we find the sequence

$$-d_{t,0} = 1, 2, 3, 6, 10, 20, 35, 70, 126, 252, 462, 924, \dots$$

from which we conjecture

$$d_{2t,0} = 2d_{2t-1,0}, \quad d_{2t-1} = 4^t / B(t+1, -1/2), \quad (14)$$

where $B(x, y)$ is the Beta function. The formula for $d_{t,0}$ holds for all the $t_m - 1$ values that we calculated and we are very confident that it is correct for all values of t . As was the case in [8] the higher-order correction terms $d_{t,s}$ can be expressed as rational functions of $d_{t,0}$,

$$d_{t,s} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \binom{t-s}{k} (a_{s,k} d_{t-s+1,0} + b_{s,k} d_{t-s+2,0}) + \sum_{k=0}^s c_{s,k} d_{t-s+k+1,0}. \quad (15)$$

From this equation we were able to find formulas for all correction terms up to $s = 17$ and using $T_{49}(p)$ we could extend the series for $T(p)$ to $\mathcal{O}(p^{67})$. A similar procedure allowed us to extend the series for $S(p)$ and the parallel moments $\mu_{1,0}(p)$ and $\mu_{2,0}(p)$ to $\mathcal{O}(p^{67})$, while the series for the first and second perpendicular moments, $\mu_{0,1}(p)$ and $\mu_{0,2}(p)$, were extended to $\mathcal{O}(p^{65})$. The resulting series are listed in table 1. More details of the extrapolation procedure including the formulae for the various correction terms will appear in a later paper [5].

The only high density expansion we consider is that for the percolation probability which can be obtained from (12) and (3) by noting that $r_t(p) = O(q^k)$ where $q = 1 - p$ and k is the least integer $\geq \frac{1}{2}(t + 2)$. Thus for a given value of t the number of terms obtainable in the high density expansion is only about half as many as in the low density expansion. However, for computational purposes it is more efficient to derive the series expansion for $P(q)$ directly via a transfer matrix technique. For the percolation probability we derived the series directly to $\mathcal{O}(q^{24})$ and obtained another 8 terms from the extrapolation procedure. The resulting series is listed in table 2.

It is found from unbiased approximants that the estimates of p_c agree with the bulk value [4], $p_c = 0.6447002 \pm 0.0000005$ obtained from longer series and we therefore bias our exponent estimates with this value. This value of p_c was obtained from low density series and is a refinement of that obtained from analysis of the shorter series for $P(q)$ [10] which gave $p_c = 0.6447006 \pm 0.0000010$. Data obtained from $T(p)$, the parallel moments and $P(q)$ is shown in tables 3, 4 and 5. The exponent of $\mu_{00}(p)$ was estimated from the series for $(S(p) - 1)/p$ which is the mean size of the cluster connected to the site (1,1); this gave better convergence. We have also analysed the first and second perpendicular moment of the pair connectedness and series for $\xi_{\parallel}(p)$ and $\xi_{\perp}(p)$ obtained from (11) using the first and second moments. In the analysis of $P(q)$ we used standard DLog Padé approximants while the remaining series were analysed using first and second order inhomogeneous differential approximant [11].

In table 3 the columns headed $L = 0$ result from the standard DLog Padé analysis and give $\tau_1 = 1$ to three decimal places although most of the entries are slightly above. This conclusion is not altered by looking at inhomogeneous approximants (the first few of which we have included in table 3) or second order approximants. Using the slightly smaller value $p_c = 0.6446980$ gave the better converged result $\tau_1 = 1.00004 \pm 0.00004$.

We turn now to the indirect evidence for $\tau_1 = 1$ via the scaling relation (1). The value $\nu_{1\parallel} = 1.7337 \pm 0.0004$ was obtained by analysing the series for $\mu_{2,0}(p)/\mu_{1,0}(p)$ and is consistent with the value obtained by subtracting the value of the exponent of $\mu_{1,0}$ from that of $\mu_{2,0}$. It is clearly equal to the corresponding bulk exponent, as in the case of compact percolation, and we use the more accurate bulk estimate in deriving τ_1 below. The corrections to scaling in the case of the percolation probability appear to be very close to analytic, and the standard Padé estimate of β_1 (table 6) should be accurate. Combining the values of $\nu_{\parallel}$ and β_1 gives $\tau_1 = 1.0000 \pm 0.0002$ which agrees with the direct estimate.

Other exponent values obtained from the analysis of various series are collected together in table 6 where previous estimates for the bulk problem and exact results for compact percolation are also given. As usual the error bars are a measure of the consistency of the higher order approximants and are not strict bounds. The estimate $\beta = 0.27643 \pm 0.00010$ of [10] has been adjusted slightly upwards to allow for the change

in p_c . In estimating the exponents we rely both on the analysis of the series yielding a particular exponent and estimates obtained using scaling relations. In some cases we also use the more accurate bulk exponent estimates. A case in point is the exponent γ_1 . From the Dlog Padé approximants in table 4 one would say that the direct estimate from the series for $(S(p) - 1)/p$ favours a value of $\gamma_1 \simeq 1.8211$ with a rather large spread among the approximants. However, the better converged estimates of $\gamma_1 + 2\nu_{1\parallel} \simeq 5.2881$ together with the bulk estimate of $\nu_{1\parallel}$ leads to $\gamma_1 \simeq 1.8205$. In this case second order differential approximants to $S(p)$ are better converged and favour $\gamma_1 \simeq 1.8207$. Taking all the evidence into account including our belief that Δ_1 takes on the bulk value we arrived at the estimate for γ_1 quoted in table 6. The estimate of τ is derived from the scaling relation (9). Analysis of the bulk expansions [4, 9, 10] showed that corrections to scaling were close to analytic, as they are here.

The values of $\nu_{1\perp}$ and Δ_1 (obtained from the scaling relation (2)), as well as $\nu_{1\parallel}$, are clearly the same as those for the bulk. The scaling size and both scaling lengths are therefore unchanged by the introduction of the wall. We also note that the hyperscaling relation, with D the dimension of space perpendicular to the preferred direction t ($=1$ for the square lattice),

$$\nu_{1\parallel} + D\nu_{1\perp} = \beta + \Delta, \quad (16)$$

which is satisfied by the bulk exponents apparently fails on the introduction of a wall.

We now consider the possibility of rational exponents. As previously noted [10], there is no simple rational fraction whose decimal expansion agrees with the estimate of β . The same is true for other exponent estimates in table 6. In particular we note that our estimates of the bulk exponents $\nu_{1\parallel}$ and $\nu_{1\perp}$ differ by 0.03% from the rational fractions $\nu_{1\parallel} = 26/15 = 1.733\ 333\dots$, and $\nu_{1\perp} = 79/72 = 1.097\ 222\dots$ suggested by Essam *et al* [7]. We believe this to be a significant difference given the high precision of our results. However, the suggested rational fraction $\gamma = 41/18 = 2.277\ 777\dots$ and the value of $\Delta = 613/240 = 2.554\ 1666\dots$, which follows from the above rational values by scaling, are generally still within our estimated error bounds. The fraction for Δ is not very appealing though and assuming that both exponents have these values then scaling implies the even less convincing result $\beta = 199/720 = 0.276\ 388\dots$ which is however just consistent with our estimated value.

If we assume that $\tau_1 = 1$ is exact and that the values of Δ , $\nu_{1\parallel}$ and $\nu_{1\perp}$ are the same with and without a wall then all of the other surface exponents are determined by scaling together with the values of any three bulk exponents. The surface exponents calculated in this way are presented in table 7 for comparison with the estimated values of table 6 as a measure of the overall consistency of our results. The bulk exponents used were $\gamma = 41/18$ and the bulk estimates of $\nu_{1\parallel}$ and $\nu_{1\perp}$. Excellent agreement is observed.

Our findings may be summarised as follows. Firstly we have found that the scaling

size and both scaling length exponents are unchanged by the introduction of a wall parallel to the preferred direction. Also we have examined the widely held view that two dimensional systems should have rational exponents. The high precision data presented here is consistent with the results $\tau_1 = 1$ and $\gamma = 41/18$. However there are no such simple fractions which are in agreement with our estimates of $\nu_{\parallel}$ and $\nu_{\perp}$. Given that directed percolation is not conformally invariant, and that the expectation of exponent rationality is a consequence of conformal invariance, this is perhaps not surprising. The precise numerical work reported and quoted in this paper therefore supports the conclusion that the critical exponents for non translationally invariant models should not in general be expected to be simple rational numbers. The cluster length exponent τ_1 and the exponent γ appear to be exceptional cases.

References

- [1] Essam J W and TanlaKishani D 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 3743
- [2] Essam J W and Guttmann A J 1995 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** 3591
- [3] Lin J-C 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **45** R3394
- [4] Jensen I in preparation
- [5] Jensen I and Guttmann A J in preparation
- [6] Stauffer D 1985 *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (London:Taylor Frances)
- [7] Essam J W, Guttmann A J and De'Bell K 1988 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** 3815
- [8] Baxter R J and Guttmann A J 1988 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** 3193
- [9] Essam J W, De'Bell K, Adler J and Bhatti F M 1986 *Phys. Rev. B* **33** 1982
- [10] Jensen I and Guttmann A J 1995 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** 4813
- [11] Guttmann A J 1989 Asymptotic analysis of power-series expansions *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena* vol 13, ed C Domb and J Lebowitz (New York:Academic) pp 1-234

Tables and table captions

Table 1. Low density expansions in powers of p , row n is the coefficient of p^n .

n	$T(p)$	$S(p)$	$\mu_{1,0}(p)$	$\mu_{2,0}(p)$	$\mu_{0,1}(p)$	$\mu_{0,2}(p)$
0	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	4	8	2	4
3	2	3	9	27	5	11
4	5	6	24	96	10	28
5	5	9	47	241	21	65
6	11	17	108	672	40	144
7	13	26	201	1499	77	303
8	28	47	424	3676	142	624
9	25	72	762	7644	262	1240
10	75	129	1538	17398	470	2438
11	56	194	2675	34369	843	4661
12	188	348	5258	74512	1486	8872
13	112	516	8915	141615	2609	16487
14	458	929	17233	296939	4529	30635
15	319	1351	28518	546394	7846	55734
16	1157	2456	54636	1119562	13448	101618
17	312	3506	88459	2004015	23027	181751
18	3389	6471	169004	4043156	39096	326608
19	562	8929	266670	7047626	66320	575790
20	9193	17029	512651	14102481	111795	1022909
21	-2419	22579	786932	23956166	187946	1781314
22	24689	44707	1530464	47809422	314844	3135130
23	-6090	55969	2270857	79011279	526367	5402999
24	83997	117836	4516598	158359672	876362	9435440
25	-80845	137313	6439085	254037643	1455579	16106911
26	219791	311654	13207919	514524887	2415059	27970523
27	-95543	324989	17852082	796972392	3989542	47305236
28	653560	833496	38438680	1646320650	6597538	81807186
29	-1015961	756309	48640815	2447308375	10834513	137158135
30	2302634	2242031	111440275	5201705453	17869253	236510661
31	-2111933	1623709	128688532	7341847456	29239356	393079288
32	6978051	6176873	324010503	16294292667	48152477	677071243
33	-12164131	3240757	331752781	21552447211	78162313	1114451899
34	21361373	17192674	944134956	50707490638	128852132	1921593186
35	-27110387	4663165	810982473	61539314001	208370375	3130415149
36	93655507	49481888	2781591612	157488162524	343409668	5411807564
37	-182370254	1180046	1866117373	170712205993	549693819	8710776761
38	229034090	144593684	8270004945	489038638889	911531157	15152834441
39	-269557768	-40561669	3647454015	452466460859	1447853041	24030119951
40	1056409556	439929287	25083883563	1526926232817	2413312231	42187579545
41	-2269021879	-230303695	5007776568	1132548161360	3773060280	65731749816
42	2677408443	1351358555	77130163183	4798086858971	6361278369	117017657827
43	-3544761784	-1116634980	-6211741855	2514662834523	9833452727	178182324707
44	13082866127	4353263697	244028578766	15284660803552	16833476130	323726387136
45	-26806541805	-4398416071	-83631438989	4380744364749	25157427559	478236033969
46	26061243131	14001291871	783204867296	49292061993412	44287084338	894531996536
47	-40361968343	-17738446374	-494314396278	989931047506	64933486366	1270849732090
48	190465471378	47119949250	2594611285466	162241456668132	117606789796	2471975021852
49	-381128060099	-64270709097	-2232294549879	-39805018765919	161582598415	3328670679553
50	225643036457	157128098347	8690778026386	542342994602556	311756741490	6859481787132
51	-287003337097	-246380178827	-9661864892692	-284699866038824	408491249744	8579303387168
52	2566759769655	545460020544	29995760431218	1856106540303732	841943528892	19102460884304
53	-5285267101147	-862856345434	-38056677957915	-1431588334552263	968313512109	21611403485081
54	2271123259017	1858869421298	103906790631563	6441871877547593	2256308657115	53709860916525
55	-3165468030218	-3252844644627	-151969740070893	-6562243329132823	2354715740977	52606208892861
56	35212809299763	6592890548347	369827081677281	22869643990253339	6364532607737	152781299898183
57	-66427001953763	-11229139704329	-570503946433867	-27580998453503811	4823911367581	121017115594937
58	11057548952493	22767401371634	1310843427572251	81922344320438959	17432800454267	441260107224351
59	-31697059334297	-42147789558521	-2209141231427900	-114301635466580028	10767177749158	253668652604268
60	531845697600814	81707816765666	4757125831653685	299099704878008319	52298853703005	1298380307866003
61	-939850501378691	-144224611556818	-8109804036235413	-452153132335049221	10274067757479	411221700812127
62	-218089303232488	284988594853047	17109904775959109	1095748251643358129	149825804840191	3920538018919121
63	146310515780374	-544069973568349	-3105984288473750	-1802157080659641406	3194083769764	164257826455782
64	8010088501049393	1029622326675184	62805743084099736	4074933118400663972	488096955080292	12077039640386216
65	-13777249481066198	-1844661752754855	-112541611208180874	-6931655775629313164	-219315581678014	-3036358866297604
66	-7335657891417937	3612493459852700	227780508663102551	15135810090250397585		
67	5810530478862470	-7025211744800954	-429949623442589455	-27153914600589832779		

Table 2. High density expansion for the percolation probability $P(q) = \sum a_n q^n$.

n	a_n	n	a_n
0	1	17	-123721
1	-1	18	-287828
2	-2	19	-790641
3	-3	20	-1875547
4	-4	21	-5302725
5	-7	22	-12258340
6	-11	23	-35837868
7	-24	24	-83642760
8	-44	25	-242399471
9	-108	26	-569416045
10	-221	27	-1704989414
11	-563	28	-3898028574
12	-1234	29	-11682423741
13	-3240	30	-28476236374
14	-7221	31	-80448369426
15	-19835	32	-194172723271
16	-44419		

Table 3. Differential approximant analysis of the mean length series. The table shows biased first order inhomogeneous approximant estimates of τ_1 . L is the degree of the inhomogeneous polynomial. For $L = 0$ the entries are from biased Dlog Padé approximants.

N	$L = 0$			$L = 1$		
	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]
22	1.00010	1.00010	1.00010	1.00010	1.00014	1.00010
23	1.00010	1.00010	1.00009	1.00007	0.99923	1.00012
24	1.00010	1.00015	1.00019	1.00012	1.00006	1.00015
25	1.00019	1.00008	1.00021	1.00015	1.00016	1.00019
26	1.00021	1.00020	1.00021	1.00019	1.00020	1.00006
27	1.00021	1.00012	1.00027	1.00010	1.00025	1.00033
28	1.00028	1.00024	1.00034	1.00036	1.00023	1.00072
29	1.00001	1.00020	1.00024	1.00010	1.00020	1.00021
30	1.00028	1.00022	1.00022	1.00021	1.00022	1.00026
31	1.00022	1.00029	1.00023	1.00026	1.00025	1.00023
32	1.00023	1.00022	1.00022	1.00023	1.00022	1.00022
33	1.00022	1.00022		1.00022		
N	$L = 2$			$L = 3$		
	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]
22	1.00010	1.00001	0.99954	1.00010	0.99996	1.00014
23	0.99962	1.00004	1.00009	1.00014	1.00008	1.00011
24	1.00009	0.99996	1.00014	1.00012	1.00017	1.00015
25	1.00014	1.00017	1.00144	1.00015	1.00019	1.00034
26	0.99986	1.00028	1.00030	1.00038	1.00030	1.00029
27	1.00030	1.00028	0.99995	1.00030	1.00029	1.00018
28	1.00014	1.00020	1.00020	1.00019	1.00020	1.00022
29	1.00020	1.00020	1.00025	1.00023	1.00022	1.00022
30	1.00030	1.00022	1.00023	1.00022	1.00023	1.00023
31	1.00023	1.00023	1.00022	1.00023	1.00022	1.00023
32	1.00023	1.00023		1.00023		

Table 4. DLog Padé analysis of the moments of the pair connectedness. The table shows biased approximant estimates of the critical exponents of the moments $\mu_{00}(p)$, $\mu_{10}(p)$ and $\mu_{20}(p)$.

N	γ_1			$\gamma_1 + \nu_{1\parallel}$			$\gamma_1 + 2\nu_{1\parallel}$		
	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]
22	1.82381	1.82760	1.81953	3.55492	3.55555	3.55458	5.28807	5.28807	5.28808
23	1.82010	1.82593	1.82355	3.55466	3.55478	3.55472	5.28809	5.28769	5.28807
24	1.82364	1.82094	1.71766	3.55473	3.55479	3.55462	5.28808	5.28804	5.28809
25	1.77437	1.81558	1.82399	3.55466	3.55456	3.55457	5.28809	5.28809	5.28809
26	1.82511	1.82793	1.82424	3.55457	3.55456	3.55459	5.28805	5.28809	5.28809
27	1.82524	1.82063	1.82122	3.55460	3.55459	3.55459	5.28809	5.28808	5.28806
28	1.82124	1.82097	1.82078	3.55460	3.55459	3.55459	5.28807	5.28809	5.28516
29	1.82079	1.82090	1.82396	3.55460	3.55453	3.55458	5.28804	5.28802	5.28819
30	1.81878	1.82098	1.82107	3.55459	3.55458	3.55459	5.28804	5.28806	5.28799
31	1.82108	1.82108	1.82107	3.55473	3.55454	3.55463	5.28805	5.28761	5.28799
32	1.82108	1.82104	1.82106	3.55425	3.55471	3.55460	5.28805	5.28806	5.28808
33	1.82106	1.82104		3.55589	3.55471		5.28803	5.28806	

Table 5. DLog Padé analysis of the percolation probability series. The table shows biased approximant estimates of β_1 .

N	[N-1,N]	[N,N]	[N+1,N]
8	0.73406	0.73406	0.73408
9	0.73409	0.73409	0.73408
10	0.73409	0.73403	0.73369
11	0.73389	0.73388	0.73385
12	0.73389	0.73381	0.73382
13	0.73382	0.73381	0.73382
14	0.73383	0.73381	0.73382
15	0.73382	0.73382	0.73379
16	0.73380	0.73382	

Table 6. Exponent values for compact and bond percolation. The bulk values for bond percolation are from [4] except for β which is from [10], adjusted for a small change in p_c . The compact percolation results are from [2] and references therein. Values in brackets are obtained from scaling formulae. The “with wall” value of γ is from second order differential approximants.

exponent	bond percolation		compact percolation	
	with wall	bulk	with wall	bulk
τ	1.0002 ± 0.0003	(1.4573 ± 0.0002)	0	1
β	0.7338 ± 0.0001	0.27647 ± 0.00010	2	1
γ	1.8207 ± 0.0004	2.2777 ± 0.0001	1	2
$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	3.5546 ± 0.0002	4.0113 ± 0.0003	(3)	(4)
$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	5.2881 ± 0.0002	5.7453 ± 0.0004	(5)	(6)
$\nu_{\parallel}$	1.7337 ± 0.0004	1.7338 ± 0.0001	(2)	2
$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	4.014 ± 0.002	4.4714 ± 0.0004		(3)
$\nu_{\perp}$	1.0968 ± 0.0003	1.0969 ± 0.0001		1
Δ	(2.5545 ± 0.0005)	(2.5542 ± 0.0002)	3	3

Table 7. Scaling values of the exponents for bond percolation calculated using $\tau_1 = 1$, $\gamma = \frac{41}{18}$ and the bulk estimates of $\nu_{\parallel}$ and $\nu_{\perp}$.

exponent	with wall	bulk
τ	1	1.4573
β	0.7338	0.27646
γ	1.8204	2.2778
$\gamma + \nu_{\parallel}$	3.5542	4.0116
$\gamma + 2\nu_{\parallel}$	5.2880	5.7454
$\nu_{\parallel}$	1.7338	1.7338
$\gamma + 2\nu_{\perp}$	4.0142	4.4716
$\nu_{\perp}$	1.0969	1.0969
Δ	2.5542	2.5542